1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney KRISTEN A. JENSEN, State Bar #130196 THOMAS S. LAKRITZ, State Bar #161234 VICTORIA WONG, State Bar #214289 Deputy City Attorneys City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 554-6547 Facsimile: (415) 554-4747 E-Mail: tom.lakritz@sfgov.org Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
9			
10	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	METRO FUEL LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	Case No. C07-6067 JSW	
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER	
15	vs.		
16	CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a municipal		
17	corporation, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a subdivision of the State		
18 19	of California, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a chartered California city and county and DOE 1 through DOE		
20	10,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

28

9

1011

12

1314

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

Court that counsel for Plaintiff Metro Fuel and the City Attorney's Office had agreed upon the terms
of proposal to stay the present litigation pending a decision by the Ninth Circuit in Metro Lights,
L.L.C. v. City of Los Angeles, 488 F. Supp. 2d 927 (C.D. Cal. 2006), appeal docketed, No. 07-55179
(9th Cir. Feb. 1, 2007) and Metro Lights, L.L.C. v. City of Los Angeles No. 04-1037, 2006 WL
4941839 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 3, 2006), appeal docketed, No. 07-55207 (9th Cir. Feb. 9, 2007), which was
to include the City and County of San Francisco's agreement to stay enforcement of local ordinances
at issue in the present litigation; and

WHEREAS, on June 6, 2008, the parties filed a stipulation and proposed order informing the

WHEREAS, the parties' June 6, 2008 stipulation also stated that counsel for Plaintiff Metro Fuel and the City Attorney's Office needed to obtain approval of the proposal to stay the litigation from their respective clients, and that the counsel for parties would notify the Court no later than Wednesday, June 11, 2008, whether their respective clients have approved the proposal to stay the litigation; and

WHEREAS, despite extensive, good-faith negotiations, the parties unfortunately have been unable to finalize a mutually acceptable agreement to stay enforcement of the local ordinances at issue in the present litigation; and

WHEREAS, the parties mutually agree that it would be helpful for the Court to hold a Case Management Conference either on Friday, June 20, 2008 at 1:30 p.m. or as soon thereafter as is convenient for the Court (counsel for both sides being unavailable on Friday, June 13) to discuss, among other things, the schedule for Plaintiff's contemplated motion for a preliminary injunction, the schedule for an administrative motion seeking leave to file such motion, and the extent to which discovery should proceed in advance of such motion and while such motion is pending;

///

1	NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby STIPULATED, AGREED, and ORDERED as follows:		
2	1. The parties in this action are hereby ordered to appear for a status conference on		
3	Friday,July 11, 2008 at 1:30 p.m.		
4	4		
5	5		
6			
7	7 City Attorney		
8	By: /s/ THOMAS S. LAKRITZ	<u>.</u>	
9	9 THOMAS S. LAKRITZ		
10	Attorneys for Defendant CITY All SAN FRANCISCO	ND COUNTY OF	
11	11		
12			
13	13 DATED: June 11, 2008 EMERY CELLI BRINCKERHOI	FF & ABADY LLP	
14	14 By: /s/		
15	By: /s/ ERIC HECKER		
16	16 Attorneys for Plaintiff METRO F	UEL, LLC	
17	The case shall remain stayed until the case management conference and the parties shall file a		
18	18 Joint case management statement by no later than July 7, 2008. IT IS SO ORDERED:	joint case management statement by no later than July 7, 2008.	
19			
20			
21	II DATED. By.		
22	THE MONTH ABLE JEFFRE	Y S. WHITE	
23	23		
24	24		
25	25		
26	26		
27	27		

28